

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
01/10/2002	Lars Jansson	YAMAH5.523APC	2320	
7590 11/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARTENS OLSON &	& BEAR LLP	VANAMAN, FR.	VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
STREET		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		<u> </u>		
	01/10/2002 7590 11/10/2004 MARTENS OLSON &	01/10/2002 Lars Jansson 7590 11/10/2004 MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP STREET TH FLOOR	01/10/2002 Lars Jansson YAMAH5.523APC 7590 11/10/2004 EXAM MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP STREET TH FLOOR ART UNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/889,320	JANSSON ET AL.	9			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frank Vanaman	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>ugust 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 23-34 and 36-59 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-29 and 43-54 is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>23, 24, 30-34, 36-42, 55-59</u> is/are reje	ected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atta a na a tan an at					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	<u> </u>	ne			
application from the International Bureau			,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , ,	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>)</u>			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

Status of Application

1. Applicant's amendment, filed August 16, 2004, has been entered in the application. Claims 23-34, 36-59 are pending. Claim 35 has been canceled, claims 55-59 have been added, claims 25-29 and 43-54 remain withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The appropriate citation of 35 U.S.C. 102 relied upon herein may be found in the previous office action.
- 3. Claims 23, 24, 36, 39, 41, 55-57 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohshita et al. (US 5,873,428). Ohshita et al. teach a vehicle having at least a front and rear wheel, with a frame supporting an engine (23), the front wheel (11) and rear wheel (17), the front wheel connected by a fork (19), the vehicle including a drive system wherein a hydraulic drive is provided for the front wheel-- including a pump (31), a motor (36), an accumulator (42), and connecting piping, the pump driven by the engine (24, 32, 33, 34, 35) the motor configured to drive the wheel (37, 38, 39, 41) with the accumulator providing a system pressure; the system having supply (43) and return (45) passages, with the accumulator and a filter (49) located in the return passage; the drive including a valve (47) which allows the wheel to be driven or to freewheel (at which time it may run at any desired speed compared to the rear wheel since there is no drive connection to the front wheel in this condition), the wheel including a wheel shaft (63) mounted on the fork (19a, 19b) a cover (66) fixed with respect to the fork and providing an aperture in which the motor is mounted (e.g., at 68) wherein a portion of the motor output shaft (37) which extends back into the motor extends through the aperture; the wheel having an internal gear (39) the motor output shaft having a mating gear (38) which engages the internal gear; the internal hub portion of the wheel (proximate 59) having a generally cylindrical shape with a closed end (to the left in figure 6) and an opposite end which is closed by the cover (66), the cover having at least a flange portion (note section, figure 6) extending inwardly of the outer periphery of the wheel hub, including a sealing arrangement (67) which is also positioned so as to not extend beyond the outer periphery of the hub (and thus disposed inwardly from the

Page 3

open end to the breadth claimed), the wheel being supported on the shaft by two bearing assemblies (not referenced, note figure 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The appropriate citation of 35 U.S.C. 103 relied upon herein may be found in the previous office action.
- 5. Claims 33, 34, 37, 38, 40 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohshita et al. (Cited above). The reference to Ohshita et al. is discussed in detail above. As regards claims 33 and 34, the reference fails to specifically teach the use of either a bladder accumulator or a piston accumulator. Inasmuch as both types of accumulator are well known and interchangeable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the accumulator taught by Ohshita et al. as either a piston accumulator or a bladder accumulator for the purpose of using a commonly available type of accumulator, for example the bladder accumulator in order to reduce weight overall, or the piston accumulator in order to avoid the additionally required poppet valve needed for the proper operation of a bladder accumulator.

As regards claim 37, the reference to Ohshita et al. fails to specifically teach a labyrinth seal on the peripheral surface of the cover, however in that a labyrinth seal is well known for assisting in the minimization of flow without providing further frictional rubbing between relatively moving parts, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a labyrinth seal on the cover in addition to the taught seal in order to provide a small further reduction in the material which may leak into the inner portion of the hub.

As regards claim 38, the reference to Ohshita et al. fails to specifically teach that a portion of the shaft extends through the aperture from the motor to the gear. In that it is well known to make elements with moving parts accessible for repair, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mount the entire motor portion (36) externally of the cover (66) so as to facilitate easy repair of the motor without the need to disassemble the rest of the wheel mechanism.

As regards claim 40, the reference to Ohshita et al. fails to specifically teach both a needle bearing and a ball bearing. In that both needle and ball bearing are equally well known, and wherein a radial distance taken up by a needle bearing is reduced from that of a ball bearing, it is not considered to be beyond the skill of the ordinary practitioner to replace one of the ball bearings (e.g., the set closer to plate 66) with a needle bearing, for the purpose of increasing the free space inside the wheel hub area near the mounting of the closure plate, facilitating easier maintenance.

As regards claim 58, the reference to Ohshita et al. fails to teach the disk of the cover as being disposed in the recess of the hub. It is well known in the manufacturing arts to recess an element in order to provide a more compact arrangement, and as such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recess the disk portion of the cover (66) within the periphery of the hub (e.g., such that the outer face of cover 66 is laterally inward of the outer end of the hub, proximate 67) for the purpose of providing a more compact wheel profile, reducing the volume the central portion occupies.

- 6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohshita et al. in view of Taig (US 5,328,002). The reference to Ohshita is discussed above and fails to teach that the system includes a housing, wherein the filter and accumulator are contained in the housing. Taig teaches a hydraulic supply system for a vehicle system wherein an accumulator (30) is provided in the same housing as a filter (190). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the filter and accumulator taught by Ohshita in the same housing as taught by Taig, for the purpose of incorporating both elements (with particular noting that Ohshita's filter and accumulator are located one after the other in circuit) in a single enclosure, thus reducing the number of mounting elements needed to attach the system to the vehicle.
- 7. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohshita et al. in view of Taig and Bedenbender et al. (US 3,929.206). The

references to Ohshita et al. and Taig are discussed above and fail to teach the arrangement of the accumulator and filter such that longitudinal axes of each are substantially parallel. Bedenbender teaches an arrangement wherein a filter (256) and a set of accumulators (264, 266, 268) are arranged side-by side (figure 8a, figure 9) having their respective longitudinal axes parallel to one another. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the arrangement of accumulator and filter of the vehicle of Ohshita et al. as modified by Taig, such that longitudinal axes of both elements are parallel, for the purpose of reducing space in the mounted assembly.

As regards claim 32, the examiner takes official notice that the use of an exhaust pipe and muffler for an internal combustion engine on a land vehicle is very well known, and considered to be an inherent portion of the vehicle if taught to include an internal combustion engine. As regards the locating of the housing with the accumulator and filter proximate the muffler, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to locate the accumulator and filter housing proximate a muffler (for example under a seat portion of the vehicle), for the purpose of allowing the housing to be placed in an otherwise unused space in the vehicle.

8. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohshita et al. in view of Heible (US 5,894,903). The reference to Ohshita is discussed above and fails to teach the system as configured to drive the front wheel at a speed less than that of the rear wheel. Heible teaches a hydraulic drive for a motorcycle wherein the front wheel is driven when the rear wheel runs in a range of 1% to 3% faster than the front wheel (col. 3, lines 32-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the drive system of the vehicle of Ohshita with the control for driving the front wheel when the rear wheel speed is greater, for the purpose of controlling the engagement of drive to the front wheel.

Response to Comments

9. Applicant's comments filed with the amendment have been carefully considered. As regards the reference to Ohshita et al. not teaching a cover which is positioned in the recess of the hub, note that the cover includes an inwardly directed flange, clearly illustrated in figure 6, and as such the cover is positioned inwardly of the hub's outer periphery to the breadth that such a limitation has been acutally recited in the claims. Further the position of the seal element 67 does not protrude at all outwardly beyond the periphery of the hub and as such meets the limitation of being positioned in the recess as well, again, to the breadth that this limitation has been recited in the amended claims.

Conclusion

- 10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 703-308-0424. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

As of May 1, 2003, any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Or faxed to one of the following fax servers: (cont'd, over)

Regular Communications/Amendments: 703-872-9326

After Final Amendments: 703-872-9327

Customer Service Communications: 703-872-9325

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3618